

**Minutes of the Standards Sub-Committee  
29 November 2022**

**Present:**

I. Winter (Chairman)

Councillors:

S.C. Mooney

R.W. Sider BEM

T. Fidler

**543/22 Disclosures of Interest**

Councillor Fidler informed the Sub-Committee that he had originally been identified as a complainant against Councillor E. He stated that it was his intention to remain objective. The Monitoring Officer confirmed she had spoken to Councillor E who had no objection to Councillor Fidler being on the Sub-Committee.

**544/22 Exclusion of Press and Public**

The Panel considered whether the press and public should be excluded from the meeting during consideration of the following matter on the grounds that it was likely to involve the disclosure of exempt information as defined in Paragraph 2 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act.

The Panel in making its decision had regard to all circumstances and was satisfied that the public interest in maintaining the exemption outweighed the public interest in disclosing the information.

**RESOLVED** that in the interest of having a frank and open discussion about the matter, the press and public are excluded from the meeting.

**545/22 Exempt Complaint Assessment Report against Councillor E**

The Panel considered the report of the Monitoring Officer setting out an allegation by way of a complaint received from an Officer about Councillor E.

The Monitoring Officer detailed the allegations that had been made and the key considerations for the assessment panel.

The Panel went on to consider the complaint having regard to the Assessment Criteria.

The Panel then went on to consider the options open to it:

- (a) ask the Monitoring Officer to direct that the complaint is investigated;
- (b) direct the Monitoring Officer to take other appropriate action short of a formal investigation, for instance trying to secure an apology;
- (c) take no action in respect of the complaint; this may be where the complaint appears to be trivial, vexatious, malicious, politically motivated or tit-for-tat.

The Panel had regard to advice from the Independent Person and noted that while Councillor E had offered an apology it would not be appropriate in this case.

It was **RESOLVED** that the Monitoring Officer be directed to instigate a formal investigation.

#### **546/22 Exempt Complaint Assessment Report against Councillor F**

The Panel considered the report of the Monitoring Officer setting out an allegation by way of a complaint received from a Councillor about Councillor F.

The Monitoring Officer detailed the allegations that had been made and the key considerations for the assessment panel.

The Panel went on to consider the complaint having regard to the Assessment Criteria.

The Panel then went on to consider the options open to it:

- (a) the Panel is able to ask the Monitoring Officer to direct that the complaint is investigated;
- (b) to direct the Monitoring Officer to take other appropriate action short of a formal investigation, for instance trying to secure an apology;
- (c) alternatively the Panel can decide to take no action in respect of the complaint; this may be where the complaint appears to be trivial, vexatious, malicious, politically motivated or tit-for-tat.

The Panel also had regard to advice from the Independent Person and noted that Councillor F had declined to offer a public apology.

It was **RESOLVED** that the Monitoring Officer:

1. Request, on behalf of the Sub-Committee, that the copy of the speech on Councillor F's Facebook page be removed within 48 hours.

2. Request, on behalf of the Sub-Committee, that Councillor F gives a public apology at the next Council meeting on 8 December 2022.

3. Request, on behalf of the Sub-Committee, that Councillor F completes Equality and Diversity training within two months.

In the event of non-compliance with any of the above requests, the Monitoring Officer instigates a formal investigation.

### **547/22 Exempt Complaint Assessment Report against Councillor G**

The Panel considered the report of the Monitoring Officer setting out an allegation by way of a complaint received from a Councillor about Councillor G.

The Monitoring Officer detailed the allegations that had been made and the key considerations for the assessment panel.

The Panel went on to consider the complaint having regard to the assessment criteria.

The Panel then went on to consider the options open to it:

- (a) the Panel is able to ask the Monitoring Officer to direct that the complaint is investigated;
- (b) to direct the Monitoring Officer to take other appropriate action short of a formal investigation, for instance trying to secure an apology;
- (c) alternatively the Panel can decide to take no action in respect of the complaint; this may be where the complaint appears to be trivial, vexatious, malicious, politically motivated or tit-for-tat.

The Panel also had regard to advice from the Independent Person and noted that while a private apology had been given by Councillor G, it was not sufficient.

It was **RESOLVED** that the Monitoring Officer:

1. Request, on behalf of the Sub-Committee, that Councillor G gives a public apology at the next Council meeting on 8 December 2022.

In the event of non-compliance with the above request, instigates a formal investigation.

